## Interview Summary

Application No. 08/801,458

Applicant(s)

Examiner

William Titcomb

Group Art Unit 2757

Kobata



All participants (applicant, applicant's repres	sentative, PTO personnel):	
(1) William Titcomb	(3)	
(2) Michael A. Rodriguez (Reg. No. 41,274)		
Date of Interview 7-2-99		
Type: 🛚 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy	is given to 🔲 applicant 🔲 applicant's r	representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	Yes X No. If yes, brief description	:
Accompany Missing reached Times and		
Agreement X was reached.  was not r	reacned.	
Claim(s) discussed: None		
Identification of prior art discussed: none		
none		
	ras agreed to if an agreement was reached, o ction final, the Examiner has withdrawn the f response.	finality and issued a first action
		_
	by of the amendments, if available, which the o, where no copy of the amendents which wached.)	
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record of the substance of	the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND Section 713.04). If a response to the last O	ed to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL W D MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE Office action has already been filed, APPLICAI TATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP NT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
each of the objections, rejections and claims are now allowable, this comp	mary above (including any attachments) refle nd requirements that may be present in the la- pleted form is considered to fulfill the respons wed from providing a separate record of the in	st Office action, and since the se requirements of the last
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form	ı unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.	